

FACTS ARE FACTS - III

The greatest historian on the origin and the history of the so-called or self-styled "Jews" in eastern Europe was Professor H. Graetz, himself a so-called or self-styled "Jew." Professor H. Graetz points out in his famous "History of the Jews" that when so-called or self-styled "Jews" in other countries heard a rumor about so-called or self-styled "Jews" in the Khazar Kingdom they believed these converted Khazars to be the "lost ten tribes." These rumors were no doubt responsible for the legend which grew up that Palestine was the "homeland" of the converted Khazars. On page 141 in his "History of the Jews" Professor H. Graetz states:

"The Chazars professed a coarse religion, which was combined with sensuality and lewdness...After Obadia came a long series of Jewish Chagans (kings), for ACCORDING TO A FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE STATE ONLY JEWISH RULERS WERE PERMITTED TO ASCEND THE THRONE. For some time THE JEWS OF OTHER COUNTRIES HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF THE CONVERSION OF THIS POWERFUL KINGDOM TO JUDAISM, and when at last a vague rumor to this effect reached them, THEY WERE OF THE OPINION THAT CHAZARIA WAS PEOPLED BY THE REMNANT OF THE FORMER TEN TRIBES."

When the Khazars in the 1st century B.C. invaded eastern Europe their mother-tongue was an Asiatic language, referred to in the Jewish Encyclopedia as the "Khazar languages." They were primitive Asiatic dialects without any alphabet or any written form. When King Bulan was converted in the 7th century he decreed that the Hebrew characters he saw in the Talmud and other Hebrew documents was thereupon to become the alphabet for the Khazar language. The Hebrew characters were adopted to the phonetics of the spoken Khazar language. The Khazars adopted the characters of the so-called Hebrew language in order to provide a means for providing a written record of their speech. The adoption of the Hebrew characters had no racial, political or religious implication.

The western European uncivilized nations which had no alphabet for their spoken language adopted the alphabet of the Latin language under comparable circumstances. With the invasion of western Europe by the Romans the civilization and the culture of the Romans was introduced into these uncivilized areas. Thus the Latin alphabet was adopted for the language of the French, Spanish, ENGLISH, Swedish and many other western European languages. These languages were completely foreign to each other yet they all used the same alphabet. The Romans brought their alphabet with their culture to these uncivilized nations exactly like the rabbis brought the Hebrew alphabet from Babylonia to the Khazars when they introduced writing to them in the form of the Talmud's alphabet.

Since the conquest of the Khazars by the Russians and the disappearance of the Khazar Kingdom the language of the Khazars is known as Yiddish. for about six centuries the so-called or self-styled "Jews" of eastern Europe have referred to themselves while still resident in their native eastern European countries as "Yiddish" by nationality. They identified themselves as "Yiddish" rather than as Russian, Polish, Galatian, Lithuanian, Rumanian, Hungarian or by the nation of which they were citizens. They also referred to the common language they all spoke as "Yiddish" also. There are today in New York City as you know, my dear Dr. Goldstein, many "Yiddish"

newspapers, "Yiddish" theaters, and many other cultural organizations of so-called or self-styled "Jews" from eastern Europe which are identified publicly by the word "Yiddish" in their title.

Before it became known as the "Yiddish" language, the mother-tongue of the Khazars added many words to its limited ancient vocabulary as necessity required. These words were acquired from the languages of its neighboring nations with whom they had political, social or economic relations. Languages of all nations add to their vocabularies in the same way. The Khazars adapted words to their requirements from the German, the Slavonic and the Baltic languages. The Khazars adopted a great number of words from the German language. The Germans had a much more advanced civilization than their Khazar neighbors and the Khazars sent their children to German schools and universities.

The "Yiddish" language is not a German dialect. Many people are led to believe so because "Yiddish" has borrowed so many words from the German language. If "Yiddish" is a German dialect acquired from the Germans then what language did the Khazars speak for 1000 years they existed in eastern Europe before they acquired culture from the Germans? The Khazars must have spoken some language when they invaded eastern Europe. What was that language? When did they discard it? How did the entire Khazar population discard one language and adopt another all of a sudden? The idea is too absurd to discuss. "Yiddish" is the modern name for the ancient mother-tongue of the Khazars with added German, Slavonic and Baltic adopted and adapted numerous words.

"Yiddish" must not be confused with "Hebrew" because they both use the same characters as their alphabets. There is not one word of "Yiddish" in ancient "Hebrew" nor is there one word of ancient "Hebrew" in "Yiddish." As I stated before, they are as totally different as Swedish and Spanish which both likewise use the same Latin characters for their alphabets. The "Yiddish" languages is the cultural common denominator for all the so-called or self-styled "Jews" in or from eastern Europe. To the so-called or self-styled "Jews" in and from eastern Europe, "Yiddish" serves them like the English language serves the populations of the 48 states of the United States. Their cultural common denominator throughout the 48 states is the English language, or wherever they may emigrate and resettle. The English language is the tie which binds them to each other. It is the same with the "Yiddish" language and so-called or self-styled "Jews" throughout the world.

"Yiddish" serves another very useful purpose for so-called or self-styled "Jews" throughout the world. They possess in "Yiddish" what no other national, racial or religious group can claim. Approximately 90% of the world's so-called or self-styled "Jews" living in 42 countries of the world today are either emigrants from eastern Europe, or their parents emigrated from eastern Europe. "Yiddish" is a language common to all of them as their first or second language according to where they were born. It is an "international" language to them. Regardless of what country in the world they may settle in they will always find co-religionists who also speak "Yiddish." "Yiddish" enjoys other international advantages too obvious to describe here. "Yiddish" is the modern language of a nation which has lost its existence as a nation. "Yiddish" never had a religious implication, although using Hebrew characters for its alphabet. It must not be confused with words like "Jewish." But it is very much.

Directly north of the Khazar Kingdom at the height of its power a small Slavic state was organized in 820 A.D. on the south shore of the Gulf of Finland where it flows into the Baltic Sea. This small state was organized by a small group of Varangians from the Scandinavian peninsula on the opposite shore of the Baltic Sea. The native population of this newly formed state consisted of nomad Slavs who had made their home in this area from earliest recorded history. This infant nation was even smaller than our state of Delaware. This newly-born state however was the embryo which developed into the great Russian Empire. In less than 1000 years since 820 A.D. this synthetic nation expanded its borders by ceaseless conquests until it now includes more than 9,500,000 square miles in Europe and Asia, or more than three times the area of continental United States, and they have not stopped.

During the 10th, 11th, 12th, and 13th centuries the rapidly expanding Russian nation gradually swallowed up the Khazar kingdom, its neighbor directly to the south. The conquest of the Khazar Kingdom by the Russians supplies history with the explanation for the presence after the 13th century of the large number of so-called or self-styled "Jews" in Russia. The large number of so-called or self-styled "Jews" in Russia and in eastern Europe after the destruction of the Khazar Kingdom were thereafter no longer known as Khazars but as the "Yiddish" populations of these many countries. They so refer to themselves today.

In the many wars with her neighbors in Europe after the 13th century Russia was required to cede to her victors large areas which were originally part of the Khazar Kingdom. In this manner Poland, Lithuania, Galatia, Hungary, Rumania, and Austria acquired from Russia territory originally a part of the Khazar Kingdom. Together with this territory these nations acquired a segment of the population of so-called or self-styled "Jews" descended from the Khazars who once occupied the territory. These frequent boundary changes by the nations in eastern Europe explains the presence today of so-called or self-styled "Jews" in all these countries who all trace their ancestry back to the converted Khazars. Their common language, their common culture, their common religion, and their common racial characteristics classify them all beyond any question of doubt with the Khazars who invaded eastern Europe in the 1st century B.C. and were converted to "Talmudism" in the 7th century.

The so-called or self-styled "Jews" throughout the world today of eastern European origin make up at least 90% of the world's total present population of so-called or self-styled "Jews." The conversion of King Bulan and the Khazar nation in the 7th century accomplished for "Talmudism," or for "Judaism" as "Talmudism" is called today, what the conversion of Constantine and the western European nations accomplished for Christianity. Christianity was a small comparatively unimportant religious belief practiced principally in the eastern Mediterranean area until the conversion to the Christian faith of the large populations of the western European pagan nations after the conversion of Constantine. "Talmudism," or "Judaism" as "Talmudism" is known today, was given its greatest stimulus in all its history with the conversion of the large pagan Khazar population in the 7th century. Without the conversion of the Khazar population it is doubtful if "Talmudism," or "Judaism" as "Talmudism" is known today, could have survived. "Talmudism," the civil and religious code of the Pharisees, most likely would have passed out of existence like the many other creeds and cults practiced by the peoples in that area before, during and after "Pharisaism" assumed its prominent position among these creeds and cults in the time of Jesus. "Talmudism," as "Pharisaism" was called later, would

have disappeared with all its contemporary creeds and cults but for the conversion of the Khazars to "Talmudism" in the 7th century. At that time "Talmudism" was well on its way towards complete oblivion.

In the year 986 A. D. the ruler of Russia, Vladimir III, became a convert to the Christian faith in order to marry a Catholic Slavonic princess of a neighboring sovereign state. The marriage was otherwise impossible. Vladimir III thereupon also made his newly-acquired Christian faith the state religion of Russia replacing the pagan worship formerly practiced in Russia since it was founded in 820 A.D. Vladimir III and his successors as the rulers of Russia attempted in vain to convert his so-called or self-styled "Jews," now Russian subjects, to Russia's Christian state religion and to adopt the customs and culture of the numerically predominant Russian Christian population. The so-called or self-styled "Jews" in Russia refused and resisted this plan vigorously. They refused to adopt the Russian alphabet in place of the Hebrew characters used in writing their "Yiddish" language. They resisted the substitution of the Russian language for "Yiddish" as their mother-tongue. They opposed every attempt to bring about the complete assimilation of the former sovereign Khazar nation into the Russian nation. They resisted with every means at their disposal. The many forms of tension which resulted produced situations described by history as "massacres," "pogroms," "persecution," "discrimination," etc.

In Russia at that period of history it was the custom as in other Christian countries in Europe at that time to take an oath, vow or pledge of loyalty to the rulers, the nobles, the feudal landholders and others in the name of Jesus Christ. It was after the conquest of the Khazars by the Russians that the wording of the "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer was altered. The new altered version of the "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer is referred to in the Talmud as "the law of revocation in advance." The "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer was regarded as a "law." The effect of this "LAW OF REVOCATION IN ADVANCE" obtained for all who recited it each year on the eve of the Day of Atonement divine dispensation from all obligations acquired under "oaths, vows and pledges" to be made or taken in the COMING YEAR. The recital of the "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer on the eve of the Day of Atonement released those so-called or self-styled "Jews" from any obligation under "oaths, vows or pledges" entered into during the NEXT TWELVE MONTHS. The "oaths, vows and pledges" made or taken by so-called or self-styled "Jews" were made or taken "with tongue in cheek" for twelve months.

The altered version of the "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer created serious difficulties for the so-called or self-styled "Jews" when its wording became public property. It apparently did not remain a secret very long, although the Talmud states "the law of revocation in advance was not made public." The altered version of the "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer soon became known as the "Jews Vow" and cast serious doubt upon "oaths, vows or pledges" given to Christians by so-called or self-styled "Jews." Christians soon believed that "oaths, vows or pledges" were quite worthless when given by so-called or self-styled "Jews." This was the basis for so-called "discrimination" by governments, nobles, feudal landholders, and others who required oaths of allegiance and loyalty from those who entered their service.

An intelligent attempt was made to correct this situation by a group of German rabbis in 1844. In that year they called an international conference of rabbis in Brunswick, Germany. They attempted to have the "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer completely eliminated from the Day of

Atonement ceremonies, and entirely abolish from any religious service of their faith. They felt that this secular prologue to the Day of Atonement ceremonies was void of any spiritual implication and did not belong in any synagogue ritual. However the preponderant majority of the rabbis attending that conference in Brunswick came from eastern Europe. They represented congregations of Yiddish-speaking so-called or self-styled "Jews" of converted Khazar origin in eastern Europe. They insisted that the altered version of the "Kol Nidre" (All Vows) prayer be retained exactly as it was then recited on the Day of Atonement. They demanded that it be allowed to remain as it had been recited in eastern Europe since the change by Meir ben Samuel six centuries earlier. It is today recited in exactly that form throughout the world by so-called or self-styled "Jews." Will the 150,000,000 Christians in the United States react any differently when they become more aware of its insidious implications?

How genuine can the implications, inferences and innuendoes of the so-called "brotherhood" and "interfaith" movements be under these circumstances? These so-called movements are sweeping the nations like prairie fires. If the Talmud is the axis of the political, economic, cultural and social attitudes and activities of so-called or self-styled "Jews" participating in these two so-called movements, how genuine are the "oaths, vows or pledges" taken or given in connection with these two so-called movements by so-called or self-styled "Jews?" It would be a superlative gesture of "brotherhood" or of "interfaith" if the National Conference of Christians and Jews succeeded in expunging from the Talmud all anti-Christ, anti-Christian, and anti-Christianity passages. At a cost of many millions of dollars the National Conference of Christians and Jews succeeded in expunging from the New Testament passages which so-called or self-styled "Jews" regarded as offensive to their faith. A great portion of the cost was supplied by so-called or self-styled "Jews." Christians might now supply funds to expunge from the Talmud passages offensive to the Christian faith. Otherwise the so-called "brotherhood" and "interfaith" movements are merely mockeries.

The National Conference of Christians and Jews might look into the millions of dollars being invested today by so-called or self-styled "Jews" to insure that the Talmud shall remain the axis of political, economic, cultural and social attitudes and activities of so-called or self-styled "Jews" today, and future generations. Violating the basic principle of "brotherhood" and "interfaith" so-called or self-styled "Jews" are spending millions of dollars each year to establish and equip quarters where the teachings of the Talmud can be indoctrinated into the minds of children from the time they are able to read and write. These few news items were selected from hundreds like them which are appearing daily in newspapers clear across the nation:

"Two new Jewish Centers, built at a cost of \$300,000 will be opened to 1000 students for daily and Sunday school activities next month, it was announced by the Associated Talmud Torahs." (Chicago Herald-Tribune, 8/19/50.)

"The Yeshiva School Department now provides daytime an approved English-Hebrew curriculum for grades 1 to 5 (aged 5 1/2 to 10). The afternoon Talmud Torah has opened a new beginner's class and is accepting enrollment of advanced as well as beginner students." (Jewish Voice, 9/18/53.)

"RABBI TO TALK ON TALMUD TO SHOLEM MEN. Dr. David Graubert presiding rabbi of Bet Din, and professor of rabbinical literature at the College of Jewish Studies, will present the first of his series of four lectures, "The World of the Talmud." (Chicago Tribune, 10/29/53.)

"MARYLAND GRANTS DEGREE IN TALMUD. Baltimore, (JTA). New Israel Rabbinical College has been granted here authority by the Maryland State Board of Education to issue degrees of Master of Talmudic Law and Doctor of Talmudic Law." (Jewish Voice, 1/9/53.)

"TALMUD LESSONS ON AIR FROM JERUSALEM. Weekly radio lectures on the Talmud, in English, will be available shortly on tape recordings for local stations in the United States and Canada, it was announced today." (California Jewish Voice, 1/11/52.)

Earlier in this letter, my dear Dr. Goldstein, you remember reading a quotation by the most eminent authority on the Talmud to the effect that "THE MODERN JEW IS A PRODUCT OF THE TALMUD." Would it surprise you to learn that many Christians also are the "PRODUCT OF THE TALMUD." The teachings of the Talmud are accepted by Christians in the highest echelons. I will only quote one of the subject of the Talmud, the former President of the United States. In 1951 President Truman was presented with his second set of the "63 books" of the Talmud. On the occasion of his acceptance the newspapers carried the following news item:

"Mr. Truman thanked us for the books and said that he was glad to get them as "I have read many more of the ones presented four years ago than a lot of people think." He said that he did read a lot and that the book he read the most is the Talmud which contains much sound reasoning and good philosophy of life."

Former President Truman says he benefits by "much sound reasoning" and his brand of "good philosophy of life" which absorbs from the "book that he reads the most." His recent term in office reflected his study of the Talmud. No one familiar with the Talmud will deny that. But does our former President Truman know that Jesus did not feel the way he feels about the Talmud? The "much good reasoning" and the "good philosophy of life" in the Talmud were constantly and consistently denounced by Jesus in no uncertain terms. Former President Truman should refresh his memory by reading the New Testament passages where Jesus expresses Himself on the question of the Pharisees and their Talmud. Will Mr. Truman state that in his opinion the Talmud was the "sort of book" from which Jesus "drew the teachings which enabled him to revolutionize the world" on "moral and religious subjects?"

Before leaving the Talmud as my subject I would like to refer to the most authentic analysis of the Talmud which has ever been written. You should obtain a copy of it and read it. You will be amply rewarded for your trouble in finding a copy of it. I can doubly assure you. The name of the book is "The Talmud." It was written almost a century ago in French by Arsene Darmesteter. In 1897 it was translated into English by the celebrated Henrietta Szold and published by the Jewish Publication Society of America in Philadelphia. Henrietta Szold was an outstanding educator and Zionist and one of the most notable and admirable so-called or self-styled "Jews" of this century. Henrietta Szold's translation of Arsene Darmesteter's "The Talmud" is a classic. You will never understand the Talmud until you have read it. I will quote from it sparingly:

"Now Judaism finds its expression in the Talmud, which is not a remote suggestion and a faint echo thereof, but in which it has become incarnate, in which it has taken form, passing from a state of abstraction into the domain of real things. THE STUDY OF JUDAISM IS THAT OF THE TALMUD, AS THE STUDY OF THE TALMUD IS THAT OF JUDAISM ... THEY ARE TWO INSEPARABLE THINGS, OR BETTER, THEY ARE ONE AND THE SAME ... Accordingly, the Talmud is the completest expression of religious movement, and this code of endless prescriptions and minute ceremonials represents in its perfection the total work of the religious idea ... The miracle was accomplished by a book, the Talmud ,, The Talmud, in turn, is composed of two distinct parts, the Mishna and the Gemara; the former the text, the latter the commentary upon the text ... By the term Mishna we designate A COLLECTION OF DECISIONS AND TRADITIONAL LAWS EMBRACING ALL DEPARTMENTS OF LEGISLATION, CIVIL AND RELIGIOUS ... This code, which was the work of several generations of Rabbis ... Nothing, indeed can EQUAL THE IMPORTANCE OF THE TALMUD unless it be the ignorance that prevails concerning it...This explains how it happens that a single page of the Talmud contains three or four different languages, or rather specimens of one language at three or four stages of degeneracy... Many a Michna of five or six lines is accompanied by fifty or sixty pages of explanation... is Law in all its authority; it constitutes dogma and cult; it is the fundamental element of the Talmud ... The DAILY STUDY OF THE TALMUD, WHICH AMONG JEWS BEGAN WITH THE AGE OF TEN TO END WITH LIFE ITSELF, necessarily was a severe gymnastic for the mind, thanks to which IT ACQUIRED INCOMPARABLE SUBTLETY AND ACUMEN -- SINCE IT ASPIRES TO ONE THING: TO ESTABLISH FOR JUDAISM A "CORPUS JURIS ECCLESIASTICI."

The above quotations were culled from a treatise intended to sugar-coat the Talmud. In painting a nice word-picture of the Talmud the author could not escape mentioning the above facts also. Coming from this source under the circumstances the facts stated above do not add glory to the Talmud.

"The Talmud Unmasked, The Secret Rabbinical Teachings Concerning Christians", was written by Rev. I.B. Pranaitis, Master of Theology and Professor of the Hebrew Language at the Imperial Ecclesiastical Academy of the Roman Catholic Church in Old St. Petersburg, Russia. The Rev. Pranaitis was the greatest of the students of the Talmud. His complete command of the Hebrew language qualified him to analyze the Talmud as few men in history.

The Rev. Pranaitis scrutinized the Talmud for passages referring to Jesus, Christians and the Christian faith. These passages were translated by him into Latin. Hebrew lends itself to translation into Latin better than it does directly into English. The translation of the passages of the Talmud referring to Jesus, Christians and Christian faith were printed in Latin by the Imperial Academy of Sciences in St. Petersburg in 1893 with the Imprimatur of his Archbishop. The translation from the Latin into English was made by great Latin scholars in the United States in 1939 with funds provided by wealthy Americans for that purpose.

In order not to leave any loose ends on the subject of the Talmud's reference to Jesus, to Christians and to the Christian faith I will below summarize translations into English from the Latin texts of Rev. Pranaitis" "The Talmud Unmasked, The Secret Rabbinical Teachings

Concerning Christians." It would require too much space to quote these passages verbatim with their foot-notes from the Soncino Edition in English.

First I will summarize the references by Rev. Pranaitis referring to Jesus in the Talmud in the original texts translated by him into Latin, and from Latin into English:

Sanhedrin, 67a Jesus referred to as the son of Pandira, a soldier.
Kallah, 1b. (18b) Illegitimate and conceived during menstruation.
Sanhedrin, 67a Hanged on the eve of Passover.
Toldath Jeschu. Birth related in most shameful expressions.
Abhodah Zarah II Referred to as the son of Pandira, Roman soldier.
Schabbath XIV. Referred to as the son of Pandira, the Roman.
Sanhedrin, 43a On the eve of Passover they hanged Jesus.
Schabbath, 104b Called a fool and no one pays attention to fools.
Toldoth Jeschu. Judas and Jesus engaged in quarrel with filth.
Sanhedrin, 103a. Suggested corrupts his morals and dishonors self.
Sanhedrin, 107b. Seduced, corrupted and destroyed Israel.
Zohar III, (282) Died like a beast, buried in animal's dirt heap.
Hilkoth Melakhim Sought to prove Christians err worshiping Jesus.
Abhodah Zarah, 21a Reference to worship of Jesus in homes unwanted
Orach Chaiim, 113 Avoid appearance of paying respect to Jesus.
Iore Dea, 150, 2 Do not appear to pay respect to Jesus by accident
Abhodah Zarah (6a) False teachings to worship on first day of Sabbath.

The above are a few selected from a very complicated arrangement in which many references are obscured by intricate reasoning. The following are a few summarized references to Christians and the Christian faith although not always expressed in exactly that manner. There are eleven names used in the Talmud for non-Talmud followers, by which Christians are meant. Besides Nostrim, from Jesus the Nazarene, Christians are called by all the names used in the Talmud to designate all non-"Jews:" Minim, Edom, Abhodan Zarah, Akum. Obhde Elilim, Nokrim, Amme Haarets, Kuthim, Apikorosim, and Goim. Besides supplying the names by which Christians are called in the Talmud, the passages quoted below indicate what kind of people the Talmud pictures the Christians to be, and what the Talmud says about the religious worship of Christians:

Hilkhoth Maakhaloth Christians are idolaters, must not associate.
Abhodah Zarah (22a) Don't associate with gentiles, they shed blood
Iore Dea (153, 2). Must not associate with Christians, shed blood
Abhodah Zarah (25b). Beware of Christians when walking with them.
Orach Chaiim (20, 2). Christians disguise themselves to kill Jews.
Abhodah Zarah (15b) Christians have sex relations with animals.
Abhodah Zarah (22a) Suspect Christians of intercourse with animals.
Schabbath (145b) Christians unclean because they eat accordingly
Abhodah Zarah (22b) Christians unclean because not at Mount Sinai.
Iore Dea (198, 48). Clean female Jews contaminated by Christians.
Kerithuth (6b p. 78) Jews called men, Christians not called men.
Makkoth (7b) Innocent of murder if intent to kill Christian.

Orach Chaiim (225, 10) Christians and animals grouped.
Midrasch Talpioth 225 Christians created to minister to Jews.
Orach Chaiim 57, 6a Christians to be pitied more than sick pigs.
Zohar II (64b) Christian idolaters likened to cows and asses.
Kethuboth (110b). Psalmist compares Christians to unclean beasts.
Sanhedrin (74b). Tos. Sexual intercourse of Christian like beast.
Kethuboth (3b) The seed of Christian is valued as seed of beast.
Kidduschim (68a) Christians like the people of an ass.
Eben Haezar (44,8) Marriages between Christian and Jews null.
Zohar (II, 64b) Christian birth rate to be diminished materially.
Zohar (I, 28b) Christian idolaters children of Eve's serpent.
Zohar (I, 131a) Idolatrous people (Christians) befoul the world.
Emek Haschanach (17a) Non-Jews' souls come from death and its shadow.
Zohar (I, 46b, 47a) Souls of gentiles have unclean divine origins.
Rosch Haschanach (17a) Non-Jews souls go down to hell.
Iore Dea (337, 1). Replace dead Christians like lost cow or ass.
Iebhammoth (61a) Jews called men, but not Christians called men.
Abhodah Zarah (14b) T. Not to sell religious works to Christians.
Abhodah Zarah (78) Christian churches are places of idolatry.
Iore Dea (142, 10) Must keep far away physically from churches.
Iore Dea (142, 15) Do not listen to church music or look at idols.
Iore Dea (143, 1) Must not rebuild homes destroyed near churches.
Hilkoth Abh. Zar. (10b) Jews must nor resell broken chalices to Christians.
Schabbath (116a) Tos. Gospels called volumes of iniquity, heretical books.
Schabbath (116a). Talmudists agree that books of Christians to be burned.
Abhodah Zarah (2a). Festivals of Christians called days of calamity.
Abhodah Zarah (78c). Christian feast days despicable, vain and evil.
Abhodah Zarah (6a). Forbidden to observe Christian Christmas day.
Hikoth Akum (ch.IX). Forbidden to celebrate Easter and Christmas.
Chullin (91b) Jews possess dignity even angels cannot share.
Sanhedrin, 58b To strike Israelite like slapping face of God.
Chagigah, 15b A Jew considered good in spite of sins he commits.
Gittin (62a) Jew stay away from Christian homes on holidays.
Choschen Ham. (26,1) Jew not sue before a Christian judge or laws.
Choschen Ham (34,19) Christian or servant cannot become witnesses.
Iore Dea (112, 1). Eating with Christians, breeds familiarity.
Abhodah Zarah (35b) Not drink milk from cow milked by Christian.
Iore Dea (178, 1) Not imitate Christian customs, even hair-comb.
Abhodah Zarah (72b) Discard wine touched by Christians.
Iore Dea (120, 1) Bought-dishes from Christians to be well-washed.
Abhodah Zarah (2a) Avoid all, for 3 days before Christian festivals.
Abhodah Zarah (78c) Christian festivals regarded as idolatry.
Iore Dea (139, 1) Avoid things used in Christian worship.
Abhodah Zarah (14b) Don't sell Christians articles for worship.
Iore Dea (151, 1) H. Don't sell water to Christians for baptisms.
Abhodah Zarah (2a, 1) No trade with Christians on feast days.

Abhodah Zarah (1, 2) Now such trade permitted.
Abhodah Zarah (2aT) Trade because they have money to pay.
Iore Dea (148, 5) If Christian not devout, send him gifts.
Hilkoth Akum (IX, 2) Send gifts to Christians only if irreligious.
Iore Dea (81, 7 Ha) Christian wet-nurses dangerous.
Iore Dea (153, 1 H) Christian nurse will lead children to heresy.
Iore Dea (155, 1). Avoid unknown Christian doctors.
Peaschim (25a) Avoid medical help from idolaters (Christians).
Iore Dea (156, 1) Avoid Christian barbers unless escorted by Jews.
Abhodah Zarah (26a). Christian midwives dangerous when alone.
Zohar (1, 25b) Those good to Christians never rise when dead.
Hilkoth Akum (X, 6) Help needy Christians if it will promote peace.
Iore Dea (148, 12H) Hide hatred for Christians at celebrations.
Abhodah Zarah (20a) Never praise Christians lest it be believed.
Iore Dea (151, 14) Don't praise Christians to add to glory.
Hilkoth Akum (V, 12) Quote Scriptures to stop mention of their god
Iore Dea (146, 15) Christian religious articles contemptible.
Iore Dea (147, 5) Deride Christian religious articles.
Hilkoth Akum (X, 5) No gifts to Christians, gifts to converts.
Iore Dea (151, 11) No gifts to Christians, encourages friendship.
Iore Dea (335, 43) Exile for that Jew who sells farm to Christian.
Iore Dea (154, 2) Forbidden to teach a trade to a Christian.
Baba Bathra (54b) Christian property belongs to first claimant.
Choschen Ham (183, 7) Keep what Christian overpays in error.
Choschen Ham (226, 1) Jew may keep lost property of Christian.
Baba Kama (113b) It is permitted to deceive Christians.
Choschen Ham (183, 7) Jews divide what they overcharge Christians.
Choschen Ham (156, 5) Must not take Christian customers from Jews.
Iore Dea (157, 2) H May deceive all who believe Christian tenets.
Abhodah Zarah (54a) Usury practiced on Christians or apostates.
Iore Dea (159, 1) Usury permitted now for any reason to Christians.
Baba Kama (113a) Jew may lie and perjure to condemn a Christian.
Baba Kama (113b) Name of God unprofaned when lying to Christians.
Kallah (1b, p.18) Jew may perjure himself with a clear conscience.
Schabbouth Hag. (6d). Jews may swear falsely by use of subterfuge.
Zohar (1, 160a). Jews must always try to deceive Christians.
Iore Dea (158, 1) Do not cure Christians unless it makes enemies.
Orach Cahim (330, 2) Not assist Christian's childbirth on Saturday
Choschen Ham.(425, 5) Unless Torah believer, don't prevent death.
Iore Dea (158, 1) Christians not enemies must not be saved either.
Hilkoth Akum (X, 1) Do not save Christians in danger of death.
Choschen Ham (386, 10) A spy may be killed even before he confesses.
Abhodah Zarah (26b) Apostates to be cast into well, not rescued.
Choschen Ham (388,15) Kill all who give Jews' money to Christians.
Sanhedrin (59a) "Prying into Jews' "Law" to get death penalty.
Hilkoth Akum (X, 2) Baptized Jews are to be put to death.

Iore Dea (158, 2) Hag. Kill renegades who turn to Christian rituals.
Choschen Ham (425, 5) Those who do not believe in Torah to be killed
Hilkhoth tesch.III, 8 Christians deny the "Law" of the Torah.
Zohar (I, 25a) Christians to be destroyed as idolaters.
Zohar (II, 19a) Captivity of Jews end when Christian princes die.
Zohar (I, 219b) Princes of Christians are idolaters, must die.
Obadiah When Rome is destroyed, Israel will be redeemed.
Abhodah Zarah (26b) T. Even the best of the Goim should be killed.
Sepher Or Israel 177b If Jew kills Christian commits no sin.
Ialkut Simoni (245c) Shedding blood of impious sacrifice to God.
Zohar (II,43a). Extermination of Christian necessary sacrifice.
Zohar (L, 28b, 39a) High place in heaven for all who kill idolaters.
Hilkhoth Akum (X, 1) Make no agreement, show no mercy to Christians
Hilkhoth Akum (X, 1) Turn them away from their idols or kill.
Hilkhoth Akum (X, 7). Allow no idolaters to remain where Jews are strong.
Choschen Ham (388,16) All contribute to cost of killing traitor.
Pesachim (49b) No need of prayers while beheading on Sabbath.
Schabbath (118a). Prayers save from punishment of coming Messiah.

In the Library of Congress and the New York Public Library, unless recently removed, you can find a copy of "The Talmud Unmasked, The Secret Rabbinical Teachings Concerning Christians" by the Rev. I. B. Pranaitis. A copy of the original work printed in St. Petersburg, Russia in 1892 can be made available to you by our mutual friend if you are interested in reading the above passages in the original Hebrew text with their Latin translation. I trust my summaries correctly explain the original text. I believe they do. If I am in error in any way please be so kind as to let me know. It was very difficult to reduce them to short summaries.

The National Conference of Christians and Jews need not scrutinize the "63 books" of the Talmud to discover all the anti-Christ, anti-Christian, and anti-Christian faith passages in the books which are "THE LEGAL CODE WHICH FORMS THE BASIS OF JEWISH RELIGIOUS LAW" and which is "THE TEXTBOOK USED IN THE TRAINING OF RABBIS." They can also keep that, as Rabbi Morris Kertzer also points out, as explained earlier, that "ADULTS STUDY ANCIENT WRITINGS TOO ... IN ... GROUP DISCUSSION OF TALMUD BEFORE EVENING PRAYER." If the National Conference of Christians and Jews are genuinely interested in "interfaith" and "brotherhood" do you not think, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that they should compel a start at once to expunge from the Talmud the anti-Christ, anti-Christian, and anti-Christianity passages from the Talmud in the "brotherly" way they expunged passages from the New Testament? Will you ask them?

Throughout the world the Oxford English Dictionary is accepted as the most authoritative and authentic source for information on the origin, definition and use of words in the English language. Authorities in all fields everywhere accept the Oxford English Dictionary brings out clearly that "Judaist" and "Judaic" are the correct forms for the improper and incorrect misused and misleading "Jews" and "Jewish." You will agree completely with the Oxford English Dictionary if you consider the matter carefully. "Judaist" and "Judaic" are correct. "Jews" and

"Jewish" are incorrect. "Jew" and "Jewish" do not belong in the English language if the use of the correct words is of interest to the English-speaking peoples.

The so-called or self-styled "Jews" cannot truthfully describe themselves as "Jews" because they are not in any sense "Judeans." They can correctly identify themselves by their religious belief if they so wish by identifying themselves as "Judaists." A "Judaist" is a person who professes so-called "Judaism" as his religious belief, according to the Oxford English Dictionary. The origin of "Jew" has not its roots in "Judaism" as explained. The adjective form of "Judaist" is "Judaic." "Jewish" as an adjective is just as incorrect as "Jew" is as a noun. "Jewish" has no reason to exist.

Well-planned and well-financed publicity by so-called or self-styled "Jews" in English-speaking countries in the 18th, 19th, and 20th centuries created a wide acceptance and use for "Jewish." "Jewish" is being used today in many ways that are no less fantastic and grotesque than incorrect and inaccurate. "Jewish" is used today to describe everything from "Jewish blood," whatever that may be, to "Jewish Rye Bread," strange as that may sound. The many implications, inferences and innuendoes of "Jewish" today resulting from its commercial uses beggar description.

At the 1954 annual meeting of the St. Paul Guild in the Plaza Hotel in New York City before more than 1000 Catholics, a Roman Catholic priest who was the main speaker and the guest of honor referred to "my Jewish blood." It just happens that this priest was born a so-called or self-styled "Jew" in eastern Europe and was converted to Catholicism there about 25 years ago. It seems unique that a priest who has professed Catholicism that length of time should mention "my Jewish blood" to Catholics. The radio blasts and the out-door signs blazon "Levy's Jewish Rye Bread," in the same city at the same time. Between these two extremes are countless other products and other services which advertise themselves in print, on radio and television, as "Jewish."KC

This priest who talks to Catholics about "my Jewish blood" when he addresses audiences also refers to the "Jewish blood" of Mary, Holy Mother of Jesus, to the "Jewish blood" of the Apostles, and to the "Jewish blood" of the early Christians. What he means by "my Jewish blood" mystifies those Catholics who hear him. They query "What is "Jewish blood"?" They ask what happens to "Jewish blood" when so-called or self-styled "Jews" are converted to Catholicism? And in the extreme case when a so-called or self-styled "Jew" becomes a Roman Catholic priest? How is "Jewish blood" biologically different from the blood of persons who profess other religious faiths, they ask. It is hard for me to believe that there is anything biologically different which determines characteristics typical of a specific religious belief. Are the inherent racial and national characteristics determined by religious dogma or doctrine?KC

The word "Jewess" raises a similar question. If "Jewess" is the female for the male "Jew" I must admit that I have been unable to find female as well as male designation for persons professing any religious belief other than so-called "Judaism." Are there any other that you know? I have searched for the female of Catholicism, Protestantism, Hindu, Moslem, and others but without success. It seems very popular now to refer to Mary, Holy Mother of Jesus, as a "Jewess." It does seem unrealistic to identify the sex of members of any religious belief by appropriate designations. If the word "Jew" is regarded as descriptive of a race or a nation, as is often the case, it is equally unrealistic to indicate the sex of members of a race or a nation by a suffix used

for that purpose. I know of no case in that respect except "Negress," and the Negro race strongly objects to the use of that designation, and strongly.

Another word is creating more problems among Christians. I refer to "Judeo-Christian." You see it more and more day by day. Based on our present knowledge of history, and on good sense applied to theology, the term "Judeo-Christian" presents a strange combination. Does "Judeo" refer to ancient "Pharisaism," or to "Talmudism," or to so-called "Judaism?" In view of what we know today, how can there be "Judeo-Christian" anything? Based upon what is now known "Judeo-Christian" is as unrealistic as it would be to say anything is "hot-cold," or "old-young," or "heavy-light," or that a person was "healthy-sick," or "poor-rich," or "dumb-smart," or "ignorant-educated," or "happy-sad." These words are antonyms, not synonyms. "Judeo-Christian" in the light of incontestable facts are also antonyms, not synonyms as so-called or self-styled "Jews" would like Christians to believe. More sand for Christian's eyes.

An "Institute of Judeo-Christian Studies" has been established by Seton Hall University. It is actually a "one-man Institute." Father John M. Oesterreicher is the "one-man Institute." The "Institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies" occupies a small office in a down-town office building in Newark, N. J. This "one-man Institute," according to their literature, has no faculty except Father Oesterreicher, and no students. Father Oesterreicher was born a so-called or self-styled "Jew" and became a convert to Catholicism. I have had the pleasure of hearing him talk on many occasions. Addresses by Father Oesterreicher and literature by mail are the principal activities of the "Institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies." Father Oesterreicher also plans to publish books and circulate them throughout the world, in large quantities.

Father Oesterreicher leaves no stones unturned to convince Catholics that "Judaeo-Christian" is a combination of two words that are synonyms theologically. Nothing could be further from the truth. Father Oesterreicher impresses that viewpoint upon his Catholic audiences. Father Oesterreicher talks to Catholic audiences only, so far as I am able to tell. In his addresses Father Oesterreicher impresses upon Catholics the opinion he personally holds on the question of the dependence of the Christian faith upon so-called "Judaism." His audiences depart Father Oesterreicher's addresses very much confused.

It would make better Catholics out of Father Oesterreicher's audiences if he would "sell" Jesus and the Catholic Church rather than try to "sell" so-called "Judaism" to his audiences. Well-planned and well-financed publicity by so-called or self-styled "Jews" manages to keep Christians well informed on the subject of so-called "Judaism." If Father Oesterreicher would concentrate upon "selling" Jesus and the Christian faith to audiences of so-called or self-styled "Jews" he would be doing more towards realizing the objectives of Christian effort. The activities of this "one-man Institute" are somewhat of a deep mystery. But I am certain that Monsignor McNulty will never allow the "Institute of Judaeo-Christian Studies" to bring discredit upon the fine record of Seton Hall as one of the foremost Catholic universities anywhere. But it will bear watching, and Monsignor McNulty will always appreciate constructive comment.

The word "anti-Semitism" is another word which should be eliminated from the English language. "Anti-Semitism" serves only one purpose today. It is used as a "smear word." When

so-called or self-styled "Jews" feel that anyone opposes any of their objectives they discredit their victims by applying the word "anti-Semite" or "anti-Semitic" through all the channels they have at their command and under their control. I can speak with great authority on that subject. Because so-called or self-styled "Jews" were unable to disprove my public statements in 1946 with regard to the situation in Palestine, they spent millions of dollars to "smear" me as an "anti-Semite" hoping thereby to discredit me in the eyes of the public who were very much interested in what I had to say. Until 1946 I was a "little saint" to all so-called or self-styled "Jews." When I disagreed with them publicly on the Zionist intentions in Palestine I became suddenly "Anti-Semite No. 1."

It is disgraceful to watch the Christian clergy take up the use of the word "anti-Semitism." They should know better. They know that "anti-Semitism" is a meaningless word in the sense it is used today. They know the correct word is "Judaeophobe." "Anti-Semite" was developed into the "smear-word" it is today because "Semite" is associated with Jesus in the minds of Christians. Christians are accessories in the destruction of the Christian faith by tolerating the use of the smear-word "anti-Semitic" to silence by the most intolerant forms of persecution employing that smear word Christians who oppose the evil conspirators.

It no doubt grieves you as much as it grieves me, my dear Dr. Goldstein, to see our nation's moral standards sink to new alltime lows day by day. Of that there is very little doubt. The moral standards of this nation in political, economic, social and spiritual fields are the factors which determine the position we will occupy in world affairs. We will be judged on that basis from afar by the other 94% of the world's total population. Our 6% of the world's total population will succeed or fail in its efforts to retain world leadership by our moral standards because in the last analysis they influence the attitudes and activities of the nation. The moral standards are the crucible in which the nation's character is refined and molded. The end product will never be any better than the ingredients used. It is something to think about.

There is much for which this Christian country can still feel very proud. But there is also much for which we cannot feel proud. A correct diagnosis of our nation's rapidly deteriorating moral standards in all walks of life will reveal the cause as the nation's current psychosis to concentrate primarily on how to (1) "make MORE money" and (2) "have MORE fun." How many persons do you personally know who include among their daily duties service and sacrifice in the defense against its enemies of that priceless birthright which is the God-given heritage of all those blessed to be born Americans? What services? What sacrifices?

With very few exceptions this generation seems to regard everything as secondary to our accountability to unborn generations for our generation's breach of the faith and betrayal of our trust to posterity. The sabotage of our nation's moral standards is more incidental to the program of that inimical conspiracy than accidental in the continued march of mankind towards an easier existence. The guidance and control of this nation's place in history has gravitated by default into the hands of those persons less worthy of that trusteeship. This notable achievement by them is their reward for their success in obtaining effective and numerous Christian "male prostitutes" to "front" for them. Too many of these efficacious Christian "male prostitutes" are scattered throughout the nation in public affairs for the security of the Christian faith and the nation's political, social and economic stability.

A "male prostitute" is a male who offers the faculties of his anatomy from the neck up for hire to anyone who will pay his "asking price" exactly as the female of the same species offers the facilities of her anatomy from the neck down to anyone who will pay her "asking price." Thousands of these pseudo-Christian "male-prostitutes" circulate freely unrecognized in all walks of life proudly pandering pernicious propaganda for pecuniary profit and political power. They are the "dog in the manger." The corroding effect of their subtle intrigue is slowly but surely disintegrating the moral fiber of the nation. This danger to the Christian faith cannot be overestimated. This peril to the nation should not be under-estimated. The Christian clergy must remain alerted to it.

The international "crime of crimes" of all history, that reprehensible iniquity in which this nation played the major role, was committed in Palestine almost totally as a result of the interference of the United States in that situation on behalf solely of the Zionist world-wide organization with its headquarters in New York City. The interference of the United States in that situation on behalf of the aggressors illustrates the power exerted upon the domestic and foreign policies of this government by the "male prostitutes" fearlessly functioning on behalf of the Zionist conspirators. It is the blackest page in our history.

The responsibility for that un-Christian, non-Christian and anti-Christian "cause" can be honestly deposited on the door-step of the Christian clergy. They must assume the full guilt for that inhumane and unholy crime committed in the name of Christian "charity." Sunday after Sunday, year in and year out, the Christian clergy dinned into the ears of 150,000,000 Christians who go to church regularly that Christians must regard it as their "Christian duty" to support the Zionist conspiracy for the conquest of Palestine. Well, we "sowed a wind," now we will "reap a whirlwind."

The 150,000,000 Christians in the United States were "high pressured" by the Christian clergy to give their unqualified support to the Zionist program to "repatriate" to their "homeland" in Palestine the so-called or self-styled "Jews" in eastern Europe who were the descendants of the Khazars. Christians were exhorted by the Christian clergy to regard the so-called or self-styled "Jews" in eastern Europe as God's "chosen people" and Palestine as their "Promised Land." But they knew better all the time. It was a case of cupidity not stupidity you can be sure.

As a direct result of the activities of the "male prostitutes" on behalf of the Zionist program, and contrary to all international law, to justice and to equity, anything to the contrary notwithstanding, the 150,000,000 Christians in the United States, with few exceptions, demanded that the Congress of the United States use the prestige and the power of this nation, diplomatic, economic and military, to guarantee the successful outcome of the Zionist program for the conquest of Palestine. This was done and the Zionists conquered Palestine. We are responsible.

It is a well-established and an undeniable historic fact that the active participation of the United States in the conquest of Palestine, on behalf of the Zionists, was the factor responsible for the conquest of Palestine by the Zionists. Without the active participation of the United States on behalf of the Zionists it is certain that the Zionists would never have attempted the conquest of Palestine by force of arms. Palestine today would be an independent sovereign country under a form of government established by self-determination of the lawful and legal Palestinians. This

was aborted by the payment of countless millions of dollars to Christian "male prostitutes" by Zionists on a scale difficult for the uninitiated to even imagine.

With your kind permission anticipated, I beg to respectfully and sincerely now submit to you here my comments on several passages in your latest article which appeared in the September issue of the A.P.J. Bulletin under the headline "News and Views of Jews." Deep down in my heart, my dear Dr. Goldstein, I truly feel that I can make a modest contribution towards the big success I wish you in the valuable work you are attempting, under such discouraging handicaps. My reactions to what you state in your article may prove helpful to you. My comments here were conceived in that spirit. May I suggest that you favor them with your consideration accordingly. I feel that you may be so close to the "trees" that you cannot see the "forest" in its true perspective. You may find a genuinely sincere outsider's point of view helpful to you in orienting your yesterday's attitudes to today's realities and to tomorrow's seemingly certain probabilities. I believe you will.

You realize, my dear Dr. Goldstein, that all "Laws of Nature" are irrevocable. "Laws of Nature" can neither be amended, suspended or repealed regardless how we fell about them. One of these "Laws of Nature" is fundamentally the basic reason "WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS," the subtitle in your article which attracted my attention. The "Law of Nature" to which I refer is the law that "TO EVERY ACTION THERE IS AN EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTIONS." In my respectful opinion that "Law of Nature is the alpha and omega of all questions as to "WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS."

In your article you make this mystery sound very complicated. However, it really is very simple. The so-called or self-styled "Jews" who become Catholics today are subconsciously reacting to that "Law of Nature." The conversion to Catholicism of the so-called or self-styled "Jews" is the "EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTION" of that "Law of Nature." Their conversion is a "REACTION" not an "ACTION." Can you any longer doubt that after reading these facts?

Catholicism has proven itself spiritually the "EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTION" of the religious worship practiced today under the name "Judaism," and prior to that name under the names "Talmudism" and "Pharisaism." What is spiritually conspicuous in Catholicism is conspicuous by its absence in so-called "Judaism." What is spiritually conspicuous in so-called "Judaism" is conspicuous by its absence in Catholicism, thank God. Anything which may be said by anyone to the contrary notwithstanding, Catholicism and so-called "Judaism" are at the opposite extremes of the spiritual spectrum.

Our subconscious mind never sleeps. It remains awake all the while the conscious mind is asleep. This subconscious mind of so-called or self-styled "Jews" is "WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS." The more spiritually sensitive subconscious minds of the so-called or self-styled "Jews" for 2000 years has been seeking a spiritually secure beach-head as a refuge from the terror of the Talmud. After a lifetime breathing the atmosphere of the Talmud so-called or self-styled "Jews" found Catholicism a wholesome and refreshing change of spiritual climate. They could not resist the spiritual force of the "EQUAL AND OPPOSITE REACTION" WHICH ATTRACTED THEM TO CATHOLICISM.

Catholicism supplied a sacred sanctuary for the more spiritually sensitive subconscious mind of the so-called or self-styled "Jew" seeking security in his escape from the Talmud. Before sailing into the safe port of Catholicism the subconscious mind of the more spiritually sensitive so-called or self-styled "Jews" would embark upon that voyage of their more courageous co-religionists but for one reason. They fear reprisals by their co-religionists.

In your article you mention just a few of the many penalties imposed by reactionary so-called or self-styled "Jews" upon their co-religionists who become converts to Catholicism. Conversion to Catholicism has even deprived many former so-called or self-styled "Jews" from earning their living. Many families faced starvation for that reason. A convert to Catholicism must be ready and willing to suffer the economic, social and political hardships his former co-religionists will make him pay as the price for the spiritual wealth he will acquire with conversion to Catholicism.

Investigation by you will convince you that so-called or self-styled "Jews" never turn spiritually to Catholicism "BECAUSE SUCH WAS THE JEWISH RELIGION: BECAUSE SUCH IS THE CATHOLIC RELIGION," as you state in your article. A so-called or self-styled "Jew" might question the wisdom of conversion from the original to a copy of the original. Inasmuch as so-called "Judaism" is a modern name for "Talmudism," and "Talmudism" is a name given to the ancient practice of "Pharisaism," how can you reconcile what you state that "... SUCH WAS THE JEWISH RELIGION: ... SUCH IS THE CATHOLIC RELIGION."

Several so-called or self-styled "Jews" who were recently converted to Catholicism are my personal friends. Not one of those whom I have asked became a Catholic because they felt "THE CATHOLIC CHURCH IS THE JEWISH CHURCH GLORIFIED," as you state in your article. What "JEWISH CHURCH" they ask me? I am unable to answer. What "JEWISH CHURCH" I ask you? "Pharisaism?" "Talmudism?" Surely you would not venture the opinion that the Catholic Church is "Pharisaism" or "Talmudism" now "GLORIFIED" as Catholicism, would you?

It must be quite apparent to you now that so-called or self-styled "Jews" who became converts to Catholicism do not believe that the Catholic Church, as you state in your article, "IS THE CHURCH OF JEWISH CONVERTS AND THEIR DESCENDANTS." They do not regard Jesus as a "CONVERT" to the Catholic Church. You include Jesus as a "CONVERT" to the Catholic Church, in your article. In your article you state, "FIRST CAME CHRIST, THE JEW OF JEWS." I never heard that designation before. Is it original? Nor will converted so-called or self-styled "Jews" concur at all with "THEN CAME THE APOSTLES, ALL JEWS," as you also state in your article. There is unquestionably too big an area of disagreement here to disregard the views of those who have become converts to Catholicism. Nor can these converts to Catholicism be made to believe as truth "THEN CAME THE THOUSANDS OF THE FIRST MEMBERS OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, WHO WERE JEWS," as you state in your article under discussion here.

My dear Dr. Goldstein, as a former so-called or self-styled "Jew" for almost half your life, when you became a convert to Catholicism did you do so for the reasons you state in your article "WHY JEWS BECOME CATHOLICS?" That would be difficult for me to believe in spite of the

further statements you make in your article "IN FACT THERE WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN A CATHOLIC CHURCH WERE IT NOT FOR THE JEWS." That statement appears incredible in view of incontestable facts, but these facts may not have been available to you when you made it.

If so-called or self-styled "Jews" believed what you state in your article they would undoubtedly prefer to stay put spiritually in their "JEWISH CHURCH," by which you mean no doubt so-called "Judaism." They would query why Catholics expected them to leave their "JEWISH CHURCH" to enter the Catholic Church. It might appear more logical to expect Catholics to return to the original of the Catholic Church, the "JEWISH CHURCH," or so-called "Judaism." On the basis of what you state, that would not be inconsistent.

You take away my breath when you further state "CATHOLICISM WOULD NOT EXIST WERE IT NOT FOR JUDAISM." That leaves very little for me to say after writing these 62 pages of facts and comments. In a certain sense there is certain sense to what you state if you feel that the existence of so-called "Judaism," in the time of Jesus and since then, created the necessity for the existence of Catholicism. But in no sense can the Catholic Church be adjudicated the projection of "Pharisaism," "Talmudism," or so-called "Judaism."

We should get together in person to go into this matter more fully. I hope you will extend that privilege to me in the not too distant future. In closing this letter I sincerely request that you bear in mind while reading this letter Galatians, 4:16, "Am I therefore become your enemy, because I tell you the truth?" And to this I add, "I hope not." I hope that we shall continue to be the very best of friends. If the Christian faith is to be rescued from its dedicated enemies we must all join hands and form a "human lifeline." We must pull together, not in different directions. We must "bury the hatchet" but not in each other's heads.

Looking forward with pleasant anticipation to the delight of meeting with you in person whenever you find it convenient and agreeable for yourself, and awaiting your early reply for which I take this opportunity to thank you in advance, and with best wishes for your continued good health and success, please believe me to be,

Most respectfully and very sincerely,

Benjamin H. Freedman
